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Let the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(y) \equiv a_{0}(t) y^{(n)}+a_{1}(t) y^{(n-1)}+\ldots+a_{n}(t) y=f(t)+c(t) f^{\prime}(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=\ldots y^{(n-1)}(0)=f(0)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

describe a tracking system whose input is supplied not only with a control function $f(t)$ but also with its derivative $f^{\prime}(t)$, amplified by a variable amplification factor $c(t)$. for the purpose of improving its performance. It is assumed that the function $f(t)$ arriving at the input of the tracking system has been filtered free of high-frequency noise and interference. Nothing is known about $f(t)$ except that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant m \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f^{\prime}(t)$ has a finite number of points of discontinuity in a finite interval of time.

The function $c(t)$ must satisfy the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c(t)| \leqslant M \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error signal $y(t)-f(t)$ will be denoted by $\delta(t, f(T), c(T))$.
Let us assume that at a fixed instant of time $T$ the modulus of the error signal must not exceed a given value for any value of $f^{\prime}(t)$ satisfying (3), that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f}|\delta(T, f(t), c(t))| \leqslant A, \quad\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant m, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\inf _{c} \sup _{f}|\delta(T, f(t), c(t))|=A^{\circ}<A \\
|c(t)| \leqslant M, \quad\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant m, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

be satisfied.
We shall now formulate the problem. In the set of integrable functions $c$ (t) satisfying the conditions (4) and (5), required to find the function $c_{\text {min }}(t)$ for which

$$
\inf _{c} \sup _{f}\left|\delta_{T}{ }^{\prime}(T, f(t), c(t))\right| \quad\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant m, \quad t \in[U, T]
$$

will be true.
At time $T$ the values of $y(T)$ and $f(T)$ agree to the best first-order approximation possible for the given conditions for any $f(t)$ satisfying (3).

The problem considered here is directly related to Bulgakov's method of accumulated perturbations [1], since all that is known about $f(t)$ is the condition (3).

It should be noted that the method of supplying a linear combination of the control function $f(t)$ and its derivative is fairly widespread in practice.

In a number of cases the control function may be differentiated exactly in practice, for example, when $f^{\prime}(t)$ is obtained by means of a tachometer. In other cases, for example, in the case of differentiation by means of $R C$ networks, the error in finding the derivative consists in the fact that instead of the function $f^{\prime}(t)$ one obtains the function $s(t)$, which is a solution of the equation

$$
T^{*} \frac{d S}{d l}+S=\frac{d f}{d l}
$$

For sufficiently small values of the time constant $T^{*}, S(t)=f^{\prime}(t)$. In the present note it is assumed that the differentiation is exact. However, the statement of the problem remains meaningful even for the case when $S(t)$ is supplied to the input of the system instead of $f^{\prime}(t)$.

We shall assume in what follows that in the interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, where $T_{0}>T$, all coefficients $a_{i}(t)$ of Equation (1) are functions which have $n-i$ continuous derivatives and $a_{0}(t)$ does not vanish in $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. These conditions facilitate the calculation of certain functions introduced below. Representing $f(t)$ in the form

$$
f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau
$$

and changing the order of integration in the resulting repeated integral, taking Equation (2) into account, the error signal $\delta(T, f, c)$ may be represented in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta(T, f, c)=\int_{0}^{T}\left[K_{0}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K(T, \tau)\right] j^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau \\
K_{0}(T, \tau)=\int_{\tau}^{T} K(T, u) d u-1, \quad K(T, \tau)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} y_{r}(T) \frac{W_{r}(\tau)}{a_{0}(\tau) W(\tau)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{n}(t)$ form a fundamental system of solutions of the homogeneous equation corresponding to Equation (1), $W(T)$ is the Wronskian of this system, and $W_{r}(T)$ is the cofactor of the element of the last row and $r$ th column of the Wronskian. Therefore

$$
K(T, T)=0, \quad K_{0}(T, T)=-1
$$

Let us recall that the functions $Z_{r}(T)=W_{r}(T) / a_{0}(T) W(T)$ form a fundamental system of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(Z) \equiv(-1)^{n}\left(a_{0} Z\right)^{(n)}+(-1)^{n-1}\left(a_{1} Z\right)^{(n-1)}+\ldots-\left(a_{n-1} Z\right)^{\prime}+a_{n} Z=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M_{n}$ is the operator conjugate to $L_{n}$.
Since $c(\tau)$ and $f^{\prime}(T)$ are integrable, $K(T, \tau), K_{0}(T, \tau)$, and their derivatives with respect to $T$ are continuous in $T$ and $T$ and $K(T, T)=0$, $K_{0}(T, T)=-1$, it follows that

$$
\delta_{T^{\prime}}(T, f, c)=\int_{0}^{T}\left[K_{0}^{\prime}(T, \tau) \mid c(\tau) K^{\prime}(T, \tau)\right] f^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-f^{\prime}(T)
$$

if $f^{\prime}(\tau)$ is continuous in $T$. If $f^{\prime}(T)$ has a discontinuity at $T$, then for the derivatives from left and right, respectively, the second term of the formula is equal to $f^{\prime}\left(T_{-0}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(T_{+0}\right)$, respectively. The derivatives of $K_{0}(T, T)$ and $K(T, T)$ are calculated with respect to the argument $T$. Since $f^{\prime}(t)$ is not known in advance and merely satisfies the condition (3), it follows that

$$
\sup _{f}|\delta(T, j, c)|=m \int_{0}^{T}\left|K_{0}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K(T, \tau)\right| d \tau
$$

$$
\sup _{f}\left|\delta_{T^{\prime}}(T, f, c)\right| \Rightarrow m \int_{0}^{T}\left|K_{0}{ }^{\prime}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K^{\prime}(T, \tau)\right| d \tau+m
$$

Therefore

$$
\inf _{c} \sup _{f} \delta\left|T_{T}^{\prime}(T, f, c)\right|, \quad \inf _{c} m \int_{0}^{T}\left|K_{0}^{\prime}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K^{\prime}(T, \tau)\right| d \tau
$$

will be true for the same function $c_{\text {min }}(T)$.
It is shown in [2] that (6) is true for the function $c^{\circ}(T)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
c^{\circ}(\tau)--\frac{K_{0}(T, \tau)}{K(T, \tau)} \quad \text { for }\left|\frac{K_{0}(T, \tau)}{K(T, \tau)}\right|<M \\
c^{\circ}(\tau)=-M \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}(T, \tau)}{K(T, \tau)} \quad \text { for }\left|\frac{K_{0}(T, \tau)}{K(T, \tau)}\right| \geqslant M \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{0}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K(T, \tau)=N(\tau)+\varphi(\tau) K(\tau) \\
& K_{0}^{\prime}(T, \tau)+c(\tau) K^{\prime}(T, \tau)=R(\tau)+\varphi(\tau) G(\tau) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(\tau)=K_{0}(T, \tau)+c^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) K(\tau, \tau), \quad R(\tau)=K_{0}^{\prime}(T, \tau)+c^{\circ}(\tau) K^{\prime}(\tau, \tau) \\
\varphi(\tau)=c(\tau)-c^{\circ}(\tau), \quad K(\tau)=K(T, \tau), \quad G(\tau)=K^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \tau)  \tag{10}\\
a(\tau)=-M-c^{\circ}(\tau) \leqslant \varphi(\tau) \leqslant M-c^{\circ}(\tau)=b(\tau) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above problem is thus equivalent to the following:
On the set $D$ of functions $\varphi(T)$ satisfying (11) and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\varphi)=m \int_{0}^{T}|N(\tau)+\varphi(\tau) K(\tau)| d \tau<A \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

required to find the function $\varphi_{\text {min }}(T)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\varphi} E(\varphi)=\inf _{\varphi} m \int_{0}^{T}|R(\tau)+\varphi(\tau) G(\tau)| d \tau \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=a(\tau) \quad \text { for }-\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)} \leqslant a(\tau), \quad \varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=b(\tau) \quad \text { for }-\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)} \geqslant b(\tau) \\
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=-\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)} \quad \text { for } a(\tau)<-\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)}<b(\tau)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\sigma(y)$ be a subset of $[0, T]$ such that if $T \in \sigma(y)$, then

$$
\frac{G(\tau)}{K(\tau)} \geqslant y, \quad y \in\left[B^{-}, B^{+}\right], \quad B^{-}=\inf _{\tau} \frac{G(\tau)}{K(\tau)}, \quad B^{+}=\sup _{\tau} \frac{G(\tau)}{K(\tau)}
$$

We now consider the function $\psi(T, y)$, dependent on the parameter $y$,

$$
\psi(\tau, y)=\varphi^{\circ}(\tau) \quad \text { for } \tau \in \sigma(y), \quad \psi(\tau, y)=0 \quad \text { for } \tau \in \lambda(y)=[0, T] \backslash \sigma(y)
$$

and the function

$$
\Phi(y)=m \int_{0}^{T}|N(\tau)+\psi(\tau, y) K(\tau)| d \tau
$$

Let us now note that for any arbitrary constant $d$ the equation

$$
\frac{G(\tau)}{K(\tau)}=d
$$

can have only a finite number of zeros in the interval $[0, T]$. In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(\tau)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} y_{r}^{\prime}(T) Z_{r}(\tau), \quad K(\tau)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} y_{r}(T) Z_{r}(\tau) \\
& Z^{\circ}(\tau) \equiv G(\tau)-d K(\tau)=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left[y_{r}^{\prime}(T)-d y_{r}(T)\right] Z_{r}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality at least one of the coefficients $y_{r}^{\prime}(T)-d y_{r}(T)$ is different from zero, since otherwise the Wronskian would be equal to zero. Therefore, $Z^{\circ}(T)$ is a nontrivial solution of Equations (7). But this solution can have only a finite number of zeros in the interval $[0, T]$. The function $\Phi(y)$ is continuous and decreases monotonically as $y$ varies from $B^{-}$to $B^{+}$.

Indeed, if $y_{2}>y_{1}$ then $\sigma\left(y_{2}\right) \subset \sigma\left(y_{1}\right)$. Therefore $\left|\psi\left(T, y_{1}\right)\right|>$ $\left|\psi\left(T, y_{2}\right)\right|$.

It follows from (8) to (11) that if $N(T) \Psi(T, y) K(T) \neq 0$, then

$$
\operatorname{sign}[N(\tau)+\psi(\tau, y) K(\tau)]=\operatorname{sign} N(\tau)=\operatorname{sign} \psi(\tau, y) K(\tau)
$$

These equations may be obtained as follows: We have

$$
\operatorname{sign} N=\operatorname{sign} K_{0}, \quad c^{\circ}=-M \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}}{\kappa^{*}}, \quad\left|K_{0}\right|>M|K|, \quad \psi=\varphi^{\circ}
$$

and therefore
$\pi=M\left(-1+\operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}}{K}\right) \leqslant \varphi^{0} \leqslant M\left(1+\operatorname{sign} \frac{F_{0}}{K}\right)=b, \quad-M \leqslant M \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}}{K}-q \leqslant M$

## Since

$$
N+\varphi K=K_{n}-K\left(M \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{\theta}}{K}-\varphi^{\circ}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\operatorname{sign}(N+\psi K)=\operatorname{sign} K_{0}=\operatorname{sign} N
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{sign} \psi K=\operatorname{sign} \varphi^{\circ} K=\operatorname{sign} K_{0}=\operatorname{sign} N$, since

$$
-2 M \leqslant \varphi^{\circ}<0 \quad \text { if } \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}}{K}=-1, \quad 0<\varphi^{\circ} \leqslant 2 M \quad \text { if } \operatorname{sign} \frac{K_{0}}{K}=1
$$

Therefore $\Phi\left(y_{1}\right) \geqslant \Phi\left(y_{2}\right)$. The continuity of $\Phi(y)$ follows from the abave noted property of $G(T) / K(T)$. Two cases are possible: either $Q\left(B^{-}\right)>A$ or $\Phi\left(B^{-}\right) \leqslant A$.

In the first case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\min }(\tau)=\psi\left(\tau, y_{0}\right) \equiv \psi^{o}\langle\tau) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0}$ is the smallest root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y)==A \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15) has at least one root, since

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(B^{+}\right)=m \int_{0}^{T}|N(\tau)| d \tau=A^{\circ}<\boldsymbol{A}
$$

It follows from the above that $\psi\left(T, y_{i}\right) \equiv \psi\left(T, y_{j}\right)$ if $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ satisfy (15).

In the second case

$$
\varphi_{\min }(\tau)=\psi\left(\tau, B^{-}\right)=-\varphi^{\prime}(\tau)
$$

It is evident that $\psi^{\circ}(T)$ and $\varphi^{\circ}(T)$ belong to the set $D$.
Me shall prove Equation (14). Let $\varphi(T)$ be an arbitrary function belonging to $D$.

Noting that for any r belonging to $\sigma\left(y_{0}\right)$ we have $|\varphi|+\left|\psi^{\circ}\right| \geqslant\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right|-\left|\frac{k}{G}+\psi^{\circ}\right|=\left|\frac{\pi}{G}+\varphi\right|-\left|\frac{k}{G}\right|+\left|\psi^{\circ}\right| \geqslant\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|-|\varphi|$

$$
\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right| \geqslant\left|\frac{R}{G}+\psi^{0}\right|, \quad \frac{G(\mu)}{K(\mu)}>\frac{G(v)}{K(v)} \quad \text { for } \mu \in \sigma\left(y_{j}\right), v \in \lambda\left(y_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\left.\frac{A}{m}=\int_{i}^{T}\left|N+\psi^{\circ} K\right| d \tau=\int_{i}^{T}|N| d \tau+\int_{\pi\left(y_{0}\right)}\left|\psi^{\circ}\right| i K\left|d \tau=\frac{A^{\circ}}{m}+\int_{\sigma\left(V_{0}\right)}\right| \psi^{\circ} \| K \right\rvert\, d \tau
$$

$$
\frac{Q(\varphi)}{m}=\int_{11}^{T}|N+\varphi K| d \tau \geqslant \frac{A^{\circ}}{m}+\int_{0}^{T}|\varphi \| K| d \tau
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{0}^{T} \||R+\varphi G|-\left|R+\psi^{\circ} G\right|\left|d \tau=\int_{\sigma\left(y_{0}\right)}^{0}\right| K| | \frac{G}{K} \right\rvert\,\left[\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right|-\left|\frac{R}{G}+\psi^{\circ}\right|\right] d \tau+ \\
& +\int_{\lambda\left(y_{0}\right)}|K|\left|\frac{G}{K}\right|\left[\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right|-\left|\frac{R}{G}\right|\right] d \tau=\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{*}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{*}\right)}\right| \int_{a\left(y_{0}\right)}|K|\left[\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right|-\right. \\
& \left.-\left|\frac{R}{G}+\psi^{\circ}\right|\right] d \tau+\int_{\lambda\left(w_{0}\right)}|K|\left|\frac{G}{K}\right|\left[\left|\frac{R}{G}+\varphi\right|-\left|\frac{R}{G}\right|\right] d \tau \geqslant \\
& \geqslant\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{*}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{*}\right)}\right| \int_{0\left(v_{0}\right)}|K|\left[\left|\psi^{\circ}\right|-|\varphi|\right] d \tau-\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{* *}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{* *}\right)}\right|_{\lambda\left(y_{0}\right)}|K \| \varphi \cdot| d \tau= \\
& \left.=\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{*}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{*}\right)}\right| \frac{\left(A-A^{0}\right)}{m}-\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{*}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{*}\right)}\right| \int_{0\left(v_{0}\right)}|K| \varphi \right\rvert\, d \tau- \\
& -\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{* *}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{* *}\right)}\right| \int_{\lambda\left(y_{0}\right)}|K \| \varphi| d \tau \geqslant \frac{1}{m}\left|\frac{G\left(\tau^{*}\right)}{K\left(\tau^{*}\right)}\right|\left[A-A^{\circ}-\left(Q(\varphi)-A^{\circ}\right)\right] \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

It is thus shown that if $E(\varphi)$ is attained on $\varphi^{\circ}(T)$, that is, Equation (14) is valid. Formula (16) is proved in a similar manner.

Let us consider the computational side of the above problem. The determination of the function $K(T, T)$ reduces to the calculation of the fundamental systems of equations $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{n}(t)$ and $Z_{1}(t)$, $Z_{2}(t), \ldots, Z_{n}(t)$. The methods of finding these solutions by means of high-speed digital computers or analog computers are well known. Thereafter the functions $N(T), R(T), \varphi^{\circ}(T)$ can easily be found. Since $\Phi(y)$ is a monotonic continuous function, a suitable method for solving Equations (15) to a predetermined degree of accuracy is the well-known numerical method of successive approximations called the rule of false position.

From the above property of the function $G(T) / K(T)$ it follows that for any given $y$ the set $\sigma(y)$ consists of a finite number of intervals. The boundaries of these intervals are the roots of the equation

$$
\frac{C(\tau)}{K(\tau)}=y
$$

Finding the roots of this equation is equivalent to finding the zeros of the solution

$$
z^{\circ}(\tau)=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left[y_{r^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}(T)-y y_{r}(T)\right] Z_{r}(\boldsymbol{v})
$$

of Equations (7), which may be done by means of digital or analog computers. The calculation of the value of the function $\Phi(y)$ after finding the structure of the set $\sigma(y)$ reduces to the calculation of a finite number of intervals.

To illustrate the method of finding the function $\Phi(y)$, we shall consider an example.

Let the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+y=f(t)+c(t) f^{\prime}(t), \quad y(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=f(0)=0, \quad\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant m ;|c(t)| \leqslant M
$$

be given.
As is known, for this equation $K(T, T)=\sin (T-T)$. For simplicity, we set $T=2 \pi n$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(T, \tau)=-\sin \tau, \quad K_{0}(T, \tau)=\int_{\tau}^{l^{\prime}} K(T, u) d u-1=-\cos (T-\tau)=-\cos \tau \\
K^{\prime}(T, \tau)=\cos (T-\tau)=\cos \tau, \quad K_{0}^{\prime}(T, \tau)=\sin (T-\tau)=-\sin \tau \\
c^{\circ}(\tau)=-\cot \tau \quad \text { if }|\cot \tau| \leqslant M, \quad c^{\circ}(\tau)=-M \operatorname{sign} \cot \tau \quad \text { if }|\cot \tau| \geqslant A
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Formulas (10) and (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(\tau)=0, \quad R(\tau)=-\operatorname{cosicch} \tau \quad(|\cot \tau| \leqslant M) \\
& N(\tau)=-\cos \tau+M \sin \tau, \quad R(\tau)=-\sin \tau-M \cos \tau \quad(\cot \tau \geqslant M) \\
& N(\tau)=-\cos \tau-M \sin \tau, \quad R(\tau)=-\sin \tau+M \cos \tau \quad(\cot \tau \leqslant-M) \\
& a(\tau)=-M+\cot \tau, \quad b(\tau)=M+\cot \tau \quad(|\cot \tau| \leqslant M) \\
& a(\tau)=0, \quad b(\tau)=2 M \quad(i \cot \tau \geqslant M) \\
& a(\tau)=-2 M, \quad b(\tau)=0 \quad(\text { cot } \tau \leqslant-M) \\
& -\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)}=\frac{1}{\sin \tau \cos \tau} \quad=\frac{2}{\sin 2 \tau} \quad(|\cot \tau| \leqslant M) \\
& \frac{G(\tau)}{K(\tau)}=-\cot \tau, \quad-\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)}=\frac{\sin \tau+M \cos \tau}{\cos \tau}=\tan \tau+M \quad(\cot \tau \geqslant M) \\
& -\frac{R(\tau)}{G(\tau)}=\frac{\sin \tau-M \cos \tau}{\cos \tau}=\tan \tau-M \quad(\cot \tau \leqslant-M \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $T \in \sigma(y)$ if $-\cot T \geqslant y$. Let $T_{y}$ be a value of $\cot ^{-1}(-y)$ belonging to $[0, \pi]$. Then $\sigma(y)$ consists of the intervals $\left[T_{y^{\prime}} \pi\right],\left[T_{y}+\right.$ $\left.\pi+2 \pi], \ldots, \tau_{y}+\pi(2 n-1), 2 \pi n\right)$. We assume for the sake of definiteness that $M>1$. Then from the determination of $\varphi^{\circ}(t)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=\tan \tau+M & (\cot \tau \geqslant M) \\
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n }} \tau+\cot \tau & \left(M \geqslant|\cot \tau| \geqslant M^{-1}\right) \\
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=M+\cot \tau & \left(M^{-1} \geqslant \cot \tau \geqslant 0\right) \\
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=-M+\cot \tau & \left(-M^{-1} \leqslant \cot \tau<0\right) \\
\varphi^{\circ}(\tau)=\tan \tau-M & (\cot \tau<-M)
\end{array}
$$

In the interval $[0, \pi]$

$$
\psi(\tau, y)=\varphi^{0}(\tau) \quad \text { if } \tau \in\left[\tau_{y}, \pi\right], \quad \psi(\tau, y)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \tau \in\left[0, \tau_{y^{\prime}}\right.
$$

Let

$$
\cot \tau_{0}=M, \quad \cot \tau_{1}=M^{-1} \quad\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{i} \in[0, \pi]\right)
$$

Then for $y \leqslant-M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(y)=-4 \pi n m\left\{\left.\int_{0}^{u_{0}} 1-\cos \tau+M \sin \tau\left|d \tau+\int_{\tau_{y}}^{\tau_{0}}\right|-\frac{1}{\cos \tau}\left|d \tau+\int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{1}}\right| \frac{1}{\cos \tau} \right\rvert\, d \tau+\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\pi / 2}|(M+\cos \tau)(-\sin \tau)| d \tau+\int_{\pi / 2}^{\pi-\tau_{1}}|(-M+\cot \tau)(-\sin \tau)| d \tau+ \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\pi-\tau_{1}}^{\pi-\tau_{0}}\left|-\frac{1}{\cos \tau}\right| d \tau+\int_{\pi-\tau_{0}}^{\pi}\left|-\frac{1}{\cos \tau}\right| d \tau\right\}= \\
& =4 \pi n m\left\{\frac{1-M y}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}+2-M+\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}-1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}+1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+M}{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Performing similar calculations, we find that

$\Phi(y)=4 \pi n m\left\{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+2-M+\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}-1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}+1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+M}{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}$

$$
\left(-M \leqslant y \leqslant-M^{-1}\right)
$$

$\Phi(y)=4 \pi n m\left\{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+2-M-\frac{M y+1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+M}{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M}\right\}$

$$
\left(-M^{-1} \leqslant y \leqslant 0\right)
$$

$\mathfrak{D}(y)=4 \pi n m\left\{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M+\frac{1-M y}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+M}{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M}\right\}$
$\left(0 \leqslant y \leqslant M^{-1}\right)$
$\Phi(y)=4 \pi n m\left\{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}+1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}-1}\right\}$ $\left(M^{-1} \leqslant y \leqslant M\right)$
$\Phi(y)=4 \pi n m\left\{2 \sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M-\frac{1+M y}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}+-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}+1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}-1}\right\} \quad(M \leqslant y<\infty)$

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) }\left(B^{-}\right) \cdots(-\infty)-4 \pi n m^{2}\left[2+\ln \frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+M}{\sqrt{1+M^{2}-M}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{Q}\left(B^{+}\right)=\mathrm{Q}(\infty)=8 \pi n m\left\lceil\sqrt{1+M^{2}}-M \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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